The coevolutionary mosaic of bat betacoronavirus emergence risk

Norma R Forero-Muñoz^{1,2,‡} Renata L. Muylaert³ Stephanie N. Seifert⁴ Gregory F. Albery⁵ Daniel J. Becker⁶ Colin J. Carlson^{7,8,9,‡} Timothée Poisot^{1,2,‡}

¹ Université de Montréal ² Québec Centre for Biodiversity Sciences ³ Molecular Epidemiology and Public Health Laboratory, School of Veterinary Science, Massey University, New Zealand ⁴ Paul G. Allen School for Global Health, Washington State University, Pullman, WA, United States ⁵ Department of Biology, Georgetown University, Washington, DC, USA ⁶ Department of Biology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, USA ⁷ Department of Biology, Georgetown University, Washington, DC, ⁸ Center for Global Health Science and Security, Georgetown University Medical Center, Washington, DC, USA ⁹ Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Georgetown University Medical Center, Washington, DC, USA

[‡] These authors contributed equally to the work

Correspondance to:

Timothée Poisot — timothee.poisot@umontreal.ca

Pathogen evolution is one of the least predictable components of disease emergence, particularly in nature. Here, building on principles established by the geographic mosaic theory of coevolution, we develop a quantitative, spatially-explicit framework for mapping the evolutionary risk of viral emergence. Driven by interest in diseases like SARS, MERS, and COVID-19, we examine the global biogeography of bat-origin betacoronaviruses, and find that coevolutionary principles suggest geographies of risk that are distinct from the hotspots and coldspots of host richness. Further, our framework helps explain patterns like a unique pool of merbecoviruses in the Neotropics, a recently-discovered lineage of divergent nobecoviruses in Madagascar, and-most importantly-hotspots of diversification in southeast Asia, sub-Saharan Africa, and the Middle East that correspond to the site of previous zoonotic emergence events. Our framework may help identify hotspots of future risk that have also been previously overlooked, like west Africa and the Indian subcontinent, and may more broadly help researchers understand how host ecology shapes the evolution and diversity of pandemic threats.

Disease emergence is complex, and is driven not only by animal-human contact, but also by the 1 underlying evolutionary dynamics in viral reservoirs.¹ Although host richness is often used as a superficial 2 proxy for spillover risk,^{2–4} these approaches oversimplify the relevant interspecific heterogeneity in 3 immunology, behavior, and other traits, and therefore overlook unique host pools that allow for the rapid 4 evolution of highly divergent viruses.⁵ In the case of generalist pathogens like betacoronaviruses, there is 5 conceptual and empirical support to the idea that these community-level mechanisms are even more 6 important,⁶ particularly given that cross-species transmission may, as a rule, structure viral evolution 7 more than co-divergence with hosts.⁷ This creates a disconnect between coevolutionary theory and most 8 existing ecological frameworks for mapping spillover risk. 9

The geographic mosaic theory of coevolution (GMTC) attempts to explicitly connect microevolutionary 10 dynamics to the macroecology and biogeography of symbiotic interactions.⁸ The GMTC posits that 11 coevolutionary processes among pairs⁹ or complexes¹⁰ of species are structured in space by the rippling 12 effects of abiotic conditions onto evolutionary mechanisms, giving rise to fragmented systems with 13 different ecologies over large spatial extents.¹¹ The GMTC predicts a spatial fragmentation of 14 coevolutionary dynamics under the joint action of three processes:¹² coevolutionary hot- and coldspots. 15 which appear when the intensity of interaction (in terms of reciprocal fitness consequences) varies 16 spatially; selection mosaics, wherein the intensity of *selection* varies across space, driven by both the biotic 17 complexity of the community (locally diverse hosts and viruses are more biotically complex) and the local 18 favorability of the environment;¹³ and trait remixing, which occurs when coevolutionary dynamics change 19 when community-level *functional traits* change through meta-community dynamics. 20

Here, we apply the GMTC to explore and explain the global biogeography of betacoronaviruses, the group 21 that includes SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2. In their bat reservoirs, coronaviruses evolve 22 through a mix of host jumps, recombination among disparate lineages, and, to a lesser degree, 23 co-divergence with their hosts— ^{2}a mix of mechanisms that creates a complex and nonlinear relationship 24 between host diversity and viral emergence. Working from a recently published database of bat hosts of 25 betacoronaviruses, we test whether spatial structure in bat-betacoronavirus coevolution is identifiable at a 26 global scale. Aiming to explain these patterns, we develop a generalized framework for applying the 27 GMTC to host-virus interactions, with a specific emphasis on the potential to create independent 28 coevolutionary dynamics (and therefore spatial fragmentation in risk) through heterogeneity. We develop 29 a trivariate risk assessment system that connects each GMTC mechanism to a quantifiable aspect of 30

host-virus interactions: (i) viral sharing rates in host communities, representing the strength of potential 31 interaction between viruses and any one host (i.e., places where viruses undergo constant host switching 32 may be coevolutionary coldspots); (ii) the phylogenetic diversity of hosts, as a proxy for variation in the 33 immunological mechanisms that antagonize viruses (i.e., the selection mosaic); and (iii) the local 34 uniqueness of the bat community, representing the potential for viruses to be exposed to novel host traits 35 (e.g., variation in receptor sequences). Together, we argue that these can be used to identify and map the 36 evolutionary drivers that—in conjunction with transmission processes (e.g., viral prevalence in reservoirs 37 and animal-human contact rates)— determine disease emergence risk. 38

39 Results and Discussion

53

⁴⁰ Bat and betacoronavirus biogeography are broadly consistent

Most previous work has assumed that the presence or richness of key groups of bat hosts are predictive of 41 coronavirus diversity.^{2,3} Projecting bat and betacoronavirus phylogeny over space (fig. 1), we find support 42 for the idea that bat community assembly is directly responsible for a global mosaic of viral evolution. The 43 distinct groupings (represented by different colors, symbolizing positions in a subspace formed by the first 44 two phylogenetic principal components) are essentially equivalent between the two groups, and can be 45 coarsely delineated as (1) south and southeast Asia; (2) east Asia (including northern China), west Asia, 46 and the Mediterranean coast; (3) Eurasia above a northing of 40; and (4) Africa and Latin America. In 47 some cases, this diverges from expectations about coronavirus biogeography: for example, previous work 48 has rarely flagged India as a region of interest, but for both bats and betacoronaviruses, the subcontinent 49 falls into the same regions as the southeast Asian peninsula (and indeed, the region is home to known bat 50 hosts of multiple betacoronavirus subgenera, including nobecoviruses, sarbecoviruses, and 51 merbecoviruses).3 52

[Figure 1 about here.]

54 Overall, these results suggest that the boundaries of bat and betacoronavirus biogeographic regions are

⁵⁵ broadly consistent at a global scale; perfect matching between the biogeographic regions would have

⁵⁶ indicated that the signal of virus distribution is fully predicted by bat hosts ranges. Areas for which the

biogeographic regions for bats and betacoronaviruses differ are primarily (i) southeast Asia and southern 57 China, and (ii) the Arabian peninsula, which are both regions where zoonotic transmission has been 58 documented (potentially driving a unique level of viral sampling effort that generates these patterns). 59 These spatially limited mismatches nonwithstanding, the large level of congruence may be surprising, 60 given that cross-species transmission may play a stronger role in coronavirus diversification than 61 cospeciation—²a property that would theoretically allow for substantial broad divergence in their 62 biogeography. However, host jumps at the family level or higher are relatively rare and significant events 63 in coronavirus evolutionary history;^{2,14} as a result, the mosaic of betacoronavirus phylogeography is 64 assembled from a set of overlapping smaller coevolutionary systems, superimposed in space and filtered 65 by the importance of different subgroups in local host communities. For example, the most speciose and 66 cosmopolitan family of bats, the vesper bats (Vespertilionidae), are considered the primary hosts of the 67 subgenus Merbecovirus (MERS-like viruses);^{3,14} but in the Americas, where merbecoviruses are the only 68 lineage present, they have only been found in other bat taxa (e.g., Molossidae, Phyllostomidae).^{15–18} At the 69 coarsest scale, these heterogeneities are lost, and betacoronavirus biogeography tracks the deep rifts in bat 70 evolutionary history—but within broad regions, the component coevolutionary systems may have very 71 different dynamics. 72

73 Hotspots of bat and betacoronavirus biodiversity are distinct

Bats, the second most diverse groups of mammals, are found worldwide; gradients in their species 74 richness generally track broader patterns of mammal diversity,¹⁹ with a striking Neotropical hotspot 75 (especially in the Amazon basin) and a secondary hotspot centered in Indochina. These hotspots of bat 76 diversity are generally presumed to be hotspots of viral adaptive radiation, and therefore areas of concern 77 for human health.^{2,20} However, the hotspots of known bat betacoronavirus hosts show a distinct pattern, 78 with primary hotspots (both in terms of area and higher values) of host richness situated in southeast 79 Asia, parts of southern Europe, and to a lesser extent parts of Africa in the -25-0 range of latitudes (fig. 2; 80 top). Although hundreds of species likely host undiscovered betacoronaviruses, machine learning 81 predictions have suggested that these undiscovered reservoirs should follow the same diversity gradient.²¹ 82 In principle, these hotspots of locally-diverse, virus-rich bat communities should drive more adaptive 83 diversification in their viruses. 84

[Figure 2 about here.]

However, we find that the global pattern of betacoronavirus phylogenetic distinctiveness is quite distinct 86 from both bat host richness and phylogenetic distinctiveness (fig. 2; bottom). In contrast to the sparsity of 87 Neotropical betacoronavirus hosts, South and Central America have the most evolutionary distinct hosts 88 and viruses, followed by secondary hotspots in southeast Asia and the Rift Valley region have mostly 89 distinct viruses. Some degree of sampling bias may contribute to these patterns: for example, the 90 Neotropics are one of the places where the fewest bat betacoronavirus sequences have been generated.²²⁻²⁴ 91 resulting in a sparser phylogenetic tree, and artificially inflating distinctiveness; conversely, 92 disproportionate research effort in eastern China²⁵ may have led to a more complete inventory of the local 93 diversity of coronaviruses, again inflating these metrics relative to underlying patterns. Even accounting 94 for these potential biases, though, there is obvious heterogeneity in betacoronavirus evolutionary 95 distinctiveness that is distinct from overall bat diversity. 96

Overall, these patterns recapitulate the evolutionary history of both the order Chiroptera and the genus 97 Betacoronavirus. Horseshoe bats (Rhinolophidae) include the reservoirs of the SARS-like viruses 98 (subgenus Sarbecovirus), the group of pandemic threats that have been of the greatest interest to 99 researchers¹⁴ (and so have been sampled most intensively;).²⁵ The hotspots of host richness and viral 100 diversity in southeast Asia—both of which are disproportionately high, considering the global landscape 101 of bat species richness—are almost entirely driven by viral adaptive radiation through host switching 102 within this clade^{3,21}. In contrast, the Neotropical hotspot of viral distinctiveness is driven by isolation by 103 host vicariance. Out of the four main groups of betacoronaviruses, only merbecoviruses have been found 104 in animals in the Americas— an introduction that is generally presumed to be ancient.^{3,26} While 105 comparatively understudied, New World merbecoviruses have been found in the ghost-faced bats 106 (Mormoopidae), Neotropical leaf-nosed bats (Phyllostomidae), and free-tailed bats (Molossidae).¹⁵⁻¹⁸ The 107 former two groups and a clade of the latter are endemic to the Neotropics, while the explosive adaptive 108 radiations of the phyllostomids are responsible for the hotspot of bat diversity in the Amazon.²⁷ Together, 109 these clades of New World bats play host to a distinct regime of betacoronavirus coevolution. 110

Our approach is potentially limited by sampling bias: key hotspots identified by our model have, indeed,
been sampled intensely following major zoonotic emergence events. In these areas, more betacoronavirus
hosts will have been discovered, leading to higher overall diversity and potentially higher sharing.

85

Similarly, hotspots of evolutionary uniqueness - as in the Arabian peninsula - could reflect much broader
lineages that have only been sampled in focal areas for public health. While the discovery of new branches
of bat-betacoronavirus coevolution is certainly likely, and might change some of the observed patterns, our
framework is likely to be fairly robust: the 126 hosts in our study capture nearly 10% of global bat diversity,
and the underlying evolutionary patterns they represent are much less sensitive to new information than
any inferences about viral evolution.

¹²⁰ Coevolutionary regimes structure evolutionary potential for zoonotic emergence

The existence of well-defined cophylogenetic regions suggests that the bat-betacoronavirus system is 121 spatially fragmented enough to create divergent coevolutionary trajectories; in turn, this coevolutionary 122 mosaic may alter the risk of zoonotic emergence. These ideas are, respectively, supported by the existence 123 of hotspots of viral uniqueness and the diverse origins of human betacoronaviruses. Together, this 124 framework points to a predictable relationship between host community structure and coevolutionary 125 pressure: phylogeographic structure in bat hosts (and their diverse immune strategies;)²⁸ creates a 126 landscape of selective pressure; the trajectory of viruses' coevolutionary response is, in turn, constrained 127 by their opportunities for either specialization or diversification through host jumps and recombination. 128 Based on the geographic mosaic theory of coevolution, we developed a trivariate map of coevolutionary 129 pressure (fig. 3): (1) host phylogenetic diversity: a high diversity of evolutionary histories should expose 130 viruses to more variation in host immune traits; (2) host community uniqueness: exposure to greater host 131 trait heterogeneity can drive viral diversification, and coevolving with more unique host communities 132 should create more unique branches of viral evolution; and (3) propensity for viral sharing: frequent 133 cross-species transmission may act as a buffer on selective pressure, while lower rates of exchange may 134 enable more simultaneous trajectories of viral specialization to coexist within a given community. We 135 combine global maps of all three to generate a map of coevolutionary regimes, where close colors 136 represent similar risks, and paler pixels represent overall higher risk (see Methods). We find that these 137 regions do not neatly overlap with those defined in fig. 1 or fig. 2, reinforcing the notion that local-scale 138 coevolutionary mosaics can form within cophylogenetic regions. 139

140

[Figure 3 about here.]

¹⁴¹ The greatest evolutionary potential for zoonotic emergence exists where pathogen pools have a high

genetic diversity and high propensity for cross-species transmission. In our framework, emergence risk is 142 therefore maximized under higher phylogenetic diversity (viruses are exposed to different host clades), 143 higher host uniqueness (viruses are experiencing novel, heterogeneous host traits combinations), and low 144 to medium viral sharing (host-virus pairs can coevolve independently, but divergent viruses may still have 145 opportunities for recombination). In fig. 3, this corresponds to yellow areas (dynamics dominated by low 146 viral sharing, with equal contributions of selection mosaics and trait remixing; southeast Asia, and the 147 Indian sub-continent), green-yellow areas (dynamics with low viral sharing but dominated by the 148 selection mosaic effect of host diversity; sub-Saharan Africa), and red-yellow areas (dynamics with low 149 viral sharing but dominated by trait remixing in host communities; the Middle East). Translating this axis 150 of variation back into a univariate risk map (fig. 4) highlights that this evolutionary landscape has a 151 striking correspondence to regions where zoonotic betacoronaviruses have previously emerged. Our 152 findings align with predictions regarding the spatial location of cross-species transmission. These 153 locations not only pose a potential risk of viral jumps that could endanger human health but also provide 154 valuable information for monitoring wildlife health. This could guide us to determine where and what 155 measures to implement for effectively monitoring wildlife and human betacoronavirus outbreaks before 156 they escalate to critical levels. Nevertheless, there are actually very few documented cases of emergence 157 events, and similarities could be some degree of coincidental. 158

Compared to approaches that map emergence risk based only on the number of known bat hosts of 159 betacoronaviruses, our framework suggests regions where high viral sharing dominates coevolutionary 160 dynamics—such as Latin America, or Eurasia above a northing of 30—would pose less of a relative risk of 161 zoonotic emergence. Nevertheless, areas of high host uniqueness coupled with high viral sharing 162 (red-to-pink in fig. 3) could create hotspots facilitated by viral codivergence. Our framework identifies 163 Madagascar, where most bat species are endemic following evolutionary divergence from sister species in 164 both African and Asian continents,²⁹ as one such hotspot; interestingly, a recent study³⁰ reported a novel 165 and highly divergent lineage of nobecoviruses from Madagascar-endemic pteropid bat species (Pteropus 166 rufus and Rousettus madagascariensis), again supporting the predictive power of the coevolutionary 167 framework. 168

[Figure 4 about here.]

169

170 Human landscapes filter the geography of emergence risk

The relationship between the underlying pathogen pool and emergence risk is mediated by both 171 human-wildlife interfaces (the probability of spillover) and opportunities for onward horizontal 172 transmission (the probability that spillovers become epidemics)¹. It must be noted that the assessment of 173 risk based on the GMTC mechanisms does not account for human presence; for this reason, it represents 174 "potential" level of risk, which must be re-evaluated in the light of human presence. As a proxy for both, 175 we finally overlaid the risk component from the composite map (see above) with the proportion of built 176 land, as a proxy for a mix of habitat disturbance, potential for bat synanthropy or contact with bridge hosts 177 like livestock,^{31,32} and human population density and connectivity^{1,33,34} (fig. 5). Accounting for these 178 factors, most of South America and Europe are at comparatively lower risk, as-although densely 179 populated-settlements tend to be in areas with lower potential risk. Conversely, regions like Malaysia and 180 the northern coast of Australia have a high evolutionary risk component, but should represent a relatively 181 lower effective risk due to low human density. However, southeast Asia, the Indian subcontinent, and 182 scattered hotspots in sub-Saharan Africa are at high risk due to the overlap between human populations 183 and natural opportunities for cross-species transmission of betacoronaviruses. 184

185

[Figure 5 about here.]

Reassuringly, these predictions correspond to the geographic origins of the three bat-origin coronaviruses 186 that have recently emerged in human populations. While available information puts the spillover of 187 SARS-CoV-2 in a live animal market in Wuhan, China, the ultimate origin of the virus is almost certainly 188 in a divergent lineage of sarbecoviruses from Indochina that was poorly characterized prior to the 189 pandemic.²²⁻²⁴ Similarly, the SARS-CoV outbreak began in Guangdong province in 2002, reaching 190 humans through small carnivore bridge hosts, but was eventually traced back to a set of likely progenitor 191 viruses found in cave-dwelling horseshoe bats in Yunnan province;³⁵ nearby, antibody evidence has 192 indicated human exposure to SARS-like viruses.³⁶ MERS-CoV was first detected in Jordan, but is 193 widespread in camels in East Africa and the Middle East, and may have reached its bridge host decades 194 earlier than originally supposed;³⁷ as a result, the geography of the original bat-to-camel transmission is 195 still widely regarded as uncertain. All of these are broadly consistent with the risk factors we identify. 196 Notably, India and west Africa are additional hotspots that have yet to experience the emergence of a bat 197 coronavirus into human populations, but may still be at risk-particularly given known gaps in bat 198

¹⁹⁹ surveillance,²⁵ and a dense population in both regions with global connectivity. In any of these regions,
 ²⁰⁰ surveillance on viral reservoirs can be paired with targeted monitoring of high-risk human populations
 ²⁰¹ (i.e., those with regular wildlife contact)³⁸ for maximum impact.

202 Conclusion

Bats emerged around 64 million years ago, and are one of the most diverse mammalian orders, with more 203 than 1,400 estimated species.^{39,40} They exhibit a broad variety of habitat use, behaviour, and feeding 204 strategies, putting them at key positions in the delivery and provisioning of several ecosystem services, tied 205 to important ecosystem-derived benefits to humans.⁴¹ Over two-thirds of bats are known to be either 206 obligate or facultative insectivores, therefore actively contributing for agricultural pest control,^{42,43} and 207 vectors of pathogens that put a risk on human health;^{44,45} some other species are essential links in many 208 seed-dispersal networks.⁴⁶ However, many of these species face a high risk of extinction, particularly given 209 persecution and killings that sometimes follows from messaging about their role in disease emergence. 210 Areas where bats, viruses, and humans co-occur are not always hotspots of risk for human heath; as such, 211 developing more precise ways to map zoonotic hazards can help bats and humans coexist safely, and 212 support the conservation of these important and unique animals. 213

Here, we propose a simple framework with broad explanatory power that helps contextualize discoveries 214 like highly divergent nobecoviruses in Madagascar and the once-neglected adaptive radiation of 215 sarbecoviruses in the Indochinese peninsula. In doing so, it advances ecological theory beyond the current 216 state of the art for global maps of emergence risk. For example, previous studies that have used host 217 richness as a proxy have predicted a high diversity of unsampled bat viruses,²⁰ bat coronaviruses,² and 218 even specifically betacoronaviruses²¹ in both the Amazon and southeast Asia. While we find that both 219 regions are characterized by unique and diverse communities of both hosts and viruses, our framework is 220 able to identify key differences between the two systems. We find that the merbecovirus complex in Latin 221 America has been a unique branch of evolution separate from the rest of the global pool, but with limited 222 potential for viral diversification— a finding that is supported by previous work indicating a higher rate of 223 codivergence in Latin America.^{2,47} In contrast, in southeast Asia, host richness and viral distinctiveness 224 are high but sharing is low; this suggests a different type of evolutionary dynamics that could generate 225 high local diversity of viruses through host switching and viral recombination (see *e.g.*,¹⁴ as well as the 226

discovery of recombinant viruses with genetic material from both the SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2
branches of the Sarbecovirus lineage).⁴⁸Both of these regions are priority areas for sampling, especially
given predictions that they contain many bat hosts of undiscovered betacoronaviruses.^{21,25} However, both
the evolutionary and ecological aspects of emergence risk are higher in southeast Asia—a fact that will
only become more relevant, as bats track shifting climates and exchange viruses with other species,
creating a hotspot of elevated cross-species transmission unique to the region.^{33,49}

Our trivariate additive mapping of components of risk (fig. 3) aims to elicit the complexity of spatial 233 cross-species transmission risk beyond the mere presence or absence of the pathogen host in a specific 234 location. By considering coevolutionary factors such as viral sharing and host uniqueness, we suggest 235 insights that can aid in identifying potential locations for surveillance of betacoronavirus circulation and 236 assessing the risk of cross-species transmission to other mammals. In communities characterized by 237 diverse but unique host populations, with limited viral sharing between them, we could encounter viruses 238 that specialize in targeting the immune system of specific hosts. This implies a low likelihood of infecting 239 novel hosts but, once locally introduced into a new host (either a new species, or an immunologically 240 naïve population), the specialized virus could spread relatively easily due to encountering little immune 241 resistance.⁵⁰ With the right combination of viral traits, such as low disease-induced mortality or high 242 transmission rate, this could lead to successfully spread within the new host community. However, while 243 high adaptation to a specific host can be advantageous, it may also lead to maladaptation when the 244 pathogen encounters a new unsuitable host, potentially resulting in its extinction. 245

Bats—and the spillover of their viruses—are also sensitive to anthropogenic factors others than climate change, including deforestation and other kinds of habitat loss, increased stress, and greater contact with potential bridge hosts like domesticated species.^{31,51–53} This represents a challenge for both conservation strategies and pandemic prevention,⁵⁴ but identifying areas at risk, and protecting the health of bats and ecosystems within those zones, can be a win-win intervention for both.^{55–57} As we scale these predictions down in space to finer spatial resolutions to guide public health actions,³³ the incorporation of human activity predictors will become more importyant.⁵⁸

Acknowledgements: We acknowledge that this study was conducted on land within the traditional
 unceded territory of the Saint Lawrence Iroquoian, Anishinabewaki, Mohawk, Huron-Wendat, and
 Omàmiwininiwak nations. This work was supported by funding to the Viral Emergence Research
 Initiative (VERENA) consortium including NSF BII 2021909 and a grant from Institut de Valorisation des

- ²⁵⁷ Données (IVADO). This research was enabled in part by support provided by Calcul Québec
- ²⁵⁸ (www.calculquebec.ca) and Compute Canada (www.computecanada.ca). NF is funded by the NSERC
- ²⁵⁹ BIOS² CREATE program. TP and NF are funded by the Courtois Foundation. RLM was supported by
- ²⁶⁰ Bryce Carmine and Anne Carmine (née Percival), through the Massey University Foundation. DJB was
- ²⁶¹ supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health
- ²⁶² (P20GM134973).

263 Methods

264 Known Betacoronavirus hosts

²⁶⁵ We downloaded the data on bats hosts of *Betacoronavirus* from

https://www.viralemergence.org/betacov on Apr. 2022,²¹ and filtered it to "known" hosts (established 266 before the emergence of SARS-CoV-2) and "novel" hosts (confirmed through sampling and competence 267 assays since the initial data collection). The original database was assembled by a combination of data 268 mining and literature surveys, including automated alerts on the "bats" and "coronavirus" keywords to 269 identify novel empirical evidence of bats-betacoronaviruses associations; this yielded a total of 126 known 270 hosts, 47 of which were novel hosts. This host-virus list of interactions was obtained through a 271 comprehensive aggregation of GenBank data as well as systematic literature searches,^{21,25} such that we 272 have high confidence in its fitness for the purpose of inference at a large spatial scale. 273

274 Bat occurrences

We downloaded the rangemap of every current bat species that was classified as an empirically 275 documented host of Betacoronavirus from the previous step, according to recent IUCN data.⁵⁹ The IUCN 276 data have been assembled to support wildlife conservation efforts, and therefore we do not expect that they 277 are biased by wildlife disease sampling efforts or priority. The range maps were subsequently rasterized 278 using the rasterize function from GDAL⁶⁰ at a resolution of approximately 100kmx100km at the equator. 279 For every pixel in the resulting raster where at least one bat host of *Betacoronavirus* was present, we extract 280 the species pool (list of all known bat hosts), which was used to calculate the following risk assessment 281 components: bat phylogenetic diversity, bat compositional uniqueness, and predicted viral sharing risk. 282

283 Bat phylogenetic diversity

For every pixel, we measured Faith's Phylogenetic Diversity⁶¹ based on a recent synthetic tree with robust time calibration, covering about 6000 mammalian species.⁶² Faith's PD measures the sum of unique branches from an arbitrary root to a set of tips, and comparatively larger values indicate a more phylogenetic diverse species pool. We measured phylogenetic diversity starting from the root of the entire tree (and not from Chiroptera); this bears no consequences on the resulting values, since all branches leading up to Chiroptera are only counted once per species pool, and (as we explain when describing the
assembly of the composite risk map), all individual risk components are ranged in [0,1]. This measure
incorporates a richness component, which we chose not to correct for; the interpretation of the
phylogenetic diversity is therefore a weighted species richness, that accounts for phylogenetic
over/under-dispersal in some places.

294 Bat compositional uniqueness

For every species pool, we measured its Local Contribution to Beta-Diversity;63 LCBD works from a 295 species-data matrix (traditionally noted as Y), where species are rows and sites are columns, and a value of 296 1 indicates occurrence. We extracted the Y matrix assuming that every pixel represents a unique location, 297 and following best practices⁶⁴ transformed it using Hellinger's distance to account for unequal bat 298 richness at different pixels. The correction of raw community data is particularly important for two 299 reasons: first, it prevents the artifact of richer sites having higher importance; second, it removes the effect 300 of overall species richness, which is already incorporated in the phylogenetic diversity component. High 301 values of LCBD indicate that the pixel has a community that is on average more dissimilar in species 302 composition than what is expected knowing the entire matrix, i.e. a more unique community. Recent 303 results by^{65} shows that LCBD measures are robust with regards to spatial scale, and are therefore 304 applicable at the global scale. 305

306 Viral sharing between hosts

For all bat hosts of *Betacoronavirus*, we extracted their predicted viral sharing network, generated from a 307 previously published generalized additive mixed model of virus sharing by a tensor function of 308 phylogenetic distance and geographic range overlap across mammals.⁶⁶ This network stores pairwise 309 values of viral community similarity, measured for all hosts (to maintain consistency with teh 310 phylogenetic diversity measure) across all viruses; therefore, we consider that it accounts for some overall 311 similarity in the way hosts deal with viruses, and not only betacoronaviruses. There is empirical evidence 312 that capacity for cross-species transmission even between divergent species is generally high,⁶⁷ especially 313 for beta-coronaviruses.¹⁴ To project viral sharing values into a single value for every pixel, we averaged the 314 pairwise scores. High values of the average sharing propensity means that this specific extant bat 315

assemblage is likely to be proficient at exchanging viruses.

317 Composite risk map

To visualize the aggregated risk at the global scale, we combine the three individual risk components 318 (phylogenetic diversity, compositional uniqueness, and viral sharing) using an additive color model.⁶⁸ In 319 this approach, every risk component gets assigned a component in the RGB color model (phylogenetic 320 diversity is green, compositional uniqueness is red, and viral sharing is blue). In order to achieve a valid 321 RGB measure, all components are re-scaled to the [0,1] interval, so that a pixel with no sharing, no 322 phylogenetic diversity, and no compositional uniqueness is black, and a pixel with maximal values for 323 each is white. This additive model conveys both the intensity of the overall risk, but also the nature of the 324 risk as colors diverge towards combinations of values for three risk components. Out of the possible 325 combinations, the most risky in terms or rapid diversification and spillover potential is high phylogenetic 326 diversity and low viral sharing,⁶⁹ in that this allows multiple independent host-virus coevolutionary 327 dynamics to take place in the same location. In the colorimetric space, this correspond to yellow – because 328 the HSV space is more amenable to calculations for feature extraction,⁷⁰ we measured the risk level by 329 calculating the angular distance of the hue of each pixel to a reference value of 60 (yellow), and weighted 330 this risk level by the value component. Specifically, given a pixel with colorimetric coordinates (h, s, v), its 331 ranged weighted risk value is 332

$$v \times \left[1 - \frac{\left|\operatorname{atan}\left(\cos(\operatorname{rad}(h)), \sin(\operatorname{rad}(h))\right) - X\right|}{2\pi}\right],$$

where X is atan (cos(rad(60)), sin(rad(60))), a constant approximately equal to 0.5235.

³³⁴ Viral phylogeography and evolutionary diversification

³³⁵ To next represent phylogeography of betacoronaviruses in bats, we aggregated and analyzed

betacoronavirus sequence data. We used the following query to pull all *Betacoronavirus* sequence data

- ³³⁷ from the GenBank Nucleotide database except SARS-CoV-2; ("Betacoronavirus"[Organism] OR
- ³³⁸ betacoronavirus[All Fields]) NOT ("Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2"[Organism] OR
- sars-cov-2[All Fields]). We added a single representative sequence for SARS-CoV-2 and manually curated

to remove sequences without the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) sequence or that contained 340 words indicating recombinant or laboratory strains including "patent", "mutant", "GFP", and 341 "recombinant". We filtered over-represented taxa including betacoronavirus 1, hCoV-OC43, Middle East 342 respiratory syndrome coronavirus, Murine hepatitis virus, and hCoV-HKU1. Curated betacoronavirus 343 RdRp sequences were then aligned using MAFFT⁷¹ v1.4.0 (Algorithm FFT-NS-2, Scoring matrix 200PAM / 344 k=2, gap open penalty 1.53m offset value 0.123) and a maximum likelihood tree reconstructed in 345 IQ-TREE⁷² v1.6.12 with ModelFinder⁷³ ultrafast bootstrap approximation⁷⁴ with a general time reversible 346 model with empirical base frequencies and the 5-discrete-rate-category FreeRaye model of nucleotide 347 substitution (GTR+F+R5). 348

We first tested the hypothesis that hotspots of viral diversification would track hotspots of bat 349 diversification. To do so, we plotted the number of known bat hosts (specifically only those included in the 350 phylogeny, so there was a 1:1 correspondence between data sources) against the "mean evolutionary 351 distinctiveness" of the associated viruses. To calculate this, we derived the fair proportions evolutionary 352 distinctiveness⁷⁵ for each of the viruses in the tree, then averaged these at the bat species level, projected 353 these values onto their geographic distributions, and averaged across every bat found in a given pixel. As 354 such, this can be thought of as a map of the mean evolutionary distinctiveness of the known viral 355 community believed to be associated with a particular subset of bats present. 356

357 Co-distribution of hosts and viral hotspots

Subsequently, we tested the hypothesis that the biogeography of bat betacoronaviruses should track the 358 biogeography of their hosts. To test this idea, we loosely adapted a method from,^{76,77} who proposed a 359 phylogenetic method for the delineation of animal biogeographic regions. In their original method, a 360 distance matrix - where each row or column represents a geographic raster's grid cell, and the dissimilarity 361 values are the "beta diversity similarity" of their community assemble - undergoes non-metric 362 multidimensional scaling (NMDS); the first two axes of the NMDS are projected geographically using a 363 four-color bivariate map. Here, we build on this idea with an entirely novel methodology. First, we 364 measure the phylogenetic distance between the different viruses in the betacoronaviruses tree by using the 365 cophenetic function in ape;⁷⁸ subsequently, we take a principal components analysis of that distance 366 matrix (readily interchangeable for NMDS in this case) to project the viral tree into an n-dimensional 367 space. We then take the first two principal components and, as with the evolutionary distinctiveness 368

³⁶⁹ analysis, aggregated these to a mean host value and projected them using a four-color bivariate map.

370 Data availability statement

- ³⁷¹ The code to reproduce these analyses, as well as the data (with the exception of the IUCN rangemaps,
- ³⁷² which must be downloaded from their website) are available in the viralemergence/betamap repository
- 373 on GitHub.

374 **References**

375 1.

Plowright, R. K. et al. Pathways to zoonotic spillover. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 15, 502–510 (2017).

377 2.

Anthony, S. J. *et al.* Global patterns in coronavirus diversity. *Virus Evolution* **3**, (2017).

379 3.

Ruiz-Aravena, M. *et al.* Ecology, evolution and spillover of coronaviruses from bats. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 20, 299–314 (2022).

381 4.

Sánchez, C. A. *et al.* A strategy to assess spillover risk of bat SARS-related coronaviruses in southeast asia.
 Nature Communications 13, (2022).

383 5.

- Agosta, S. J., Janz, N. & Brooks, D. R. How specialists can be generalists: Resolving the 'parasite paradox' and implications for emerging infectious disease. *Zoologia (Curitiba)* 27, 151–162 (2010).
 6.
- Power, A. G. & Mitchell, C. E. Pathogen Spillover in Disease Epidemics. *The American Naturalist* 164, S79–S89 (2004).

387 7.

- Geoghegan, J. L., Duchêne, S. & Holmes, E. C. Comparative analysis estimates the relative frequencies of co-divergence and cross-species transmission within viral families. *PLOS Pathogens* 13, e1006215 (2017).
- 389 **8.**
- ³⁹⁰ Thompson, J. N. *The Geographic Mosaic of Coevolution*. (University Of Chicago Press, 2005).
- ³⁹¹ 9.
- ³⁹² Thompson, J. N. *The Coevolutionary Process*. (University of Chicago Press, 1994).
- зэз 10.
- ³⁹⁴ Janzen, D. H. When is it Coevolution? *Evolution* **34**, 611–612 (1980).
- 395 11.
- Price, P. W. Macroevolutionary Theory on Macroecological Patterns. (Cambridge University Press, 2002).
 doi:10.1017/CBO9780511615030.

397 12.

Gomulkiewicz, R. *et al.* Dos and don'ts of testing the geographic mosaic theory of coevolution. *Heredity* 98, 249–258 (2007).

399 13.

- ⁴⁰⁰ Thrall, P. H., Hochberg, M. E., Burdon, J. J. & Bever, J. D. Coevolution of symbiotic mutualists and parasites in a community context. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 22, 120–126 (2007).
- 401 14.
- Latinne, A. *et al.* Origin and cross-species transmission of bat coronaviruses in China. *bioRxiv: The Preprint Server for Biology* 2020.05.31.116061 (2020) doi:10.1101/2020.05.31.116061.
- 403 15.
- Anthony, S. J. *et al.* Coronaviruses in bats from Mexico. *The Journal of General Virology* **94**, 1028–1038 (2013).
- 405 16.
- Góes, L. G. B. *et al.* Novel Bat Coronaviruses, Brazil and Mexico. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 19, 1711–1713 (2013).
- 407 17.
- Góes, L. G. B. *et al.* Genetic diversity of bats coronaviruses in the Atlantic Forest hotspot biome, Brazil.
 Infection, Genetics and Evolution: Journal of Molecular Epidemiology and Evolutionary Genetics in Infectious Diseases 44, 510–513 (2016).
- 409 18.
- Brandão, P. E. *et al.* A coronavirus detected in the vampire bat Desmodus rotundus. *Brazilian Journal of Infectious Diseases* 12, 466–468 (2008).

411 **19.**

⁴¹² Tanalgo, K. C., Oliveira, H. F. M. & Hughes, A. C. Mapping global conservation priorities and habitat vulnerabilities for cave-dwelling bats in a changing world. *Science of The Total Environment* 843, 156909 (2022).

- ⁴¹⁴ Olival, K. J. *et al.* Host and viral traits predict zoonotic spillover from mammals. *Nature* **546**, 646–650 (2017).
- 415 21.
- ⁴¹⁶ Becker, D. J. *et al.* Optimising predictive models to prioritise viral discovery in zoonotic reservoirs. *The Lancet Microbe* (2022) doi:10.1016/S2666-5247(21)00245-7.

417 22.

^{413 20.}

- ⁴¹⁸ Worobey, M. *et al.* The Huanan market was the epicenter of SARS-CoV-2 emergence. *Zenodo* (2022) doi:10.5281/zenodo.6299600.
- 419 23.
- Temmam, S. *et al.* Bat coronaviruses related to SARS-CoV-2 and infectious for human cells. *Nature* 604, 330–336 (2022).
- 421 24.
- Boni, M. F. *et al.* Evolutionary origins of the SARS-CoV-2 sarbecovirus lineage responsible for the COVID19 pandemic. *Nature microbiology* 5, 1408–1417 (2020).
- 423 25.
- 424 Cohen, L. E., Fagre, A. C., Chen, B., Carlson, C. J. & Becker, D. J. Sampling strategies and pre-pandemic surveillance gaps for bat coronaviruses. 2022.06.15.496296 (2022) doi:10.1101/2022.06.15.496296.

425 26.

- ⁴²⁶ Olival, K. J. *et al.* Possibility for reverse zoonotic transmission of SARS-CoV-2 to free-ranging wildlife: A case study of bats. *PLoS pathogens* **16**, e1008758 (2020).
- 427 27.
- Ammerman, L. K., Lee, D. N. & Tipps, T. M. First molecular phylogenetic insights into the evolution of free-tailed bats in the subfamily Molossinae (Molossidae, Chiroptera). *Journal of Mammalogy* 93, 12–28 (2012).
 28.
- Banerjee, A. et al. Novel Insights Into Immune Systems of Bats. Frontiers in Immunology 11, 26 (2020).
- 431 29.
- Shi, J. J. *et al.* A Deep Divergence Time between Sister Species of Eidolon (Pteropodidae) with Evidence for
 Widespread Panmixia. *Acta Chiropterologica* 16, 279–292 (2014).
- 433 30.
- Kettenburg, G. *et al.* Full Genome Nobecovirus Sequences From Malagasy Fruit Bats Define a Unique Evolutionary History for This Coronavirus Clade. *Frontiers in Public Health* 10, (2022).
- 435 31.
- ⁴³⁶ Rulli, M. C., D'Odorico, P., Galli, N. & Hayman, D. T. Land-use change and the livestock revolution increase the risk of zoonotic coronavirus transmission from rhinolophid bats. *Nature Food* 2, 409–416 (2021).
 ⁴³⁷ 32.
- Cui, J., Li, F. & Shi, Z.-L. Origin and evolution of pathogenic coronaviruses. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 17, 181–192 (2019).
- 439 33.

- ⁴⁴⁰ Muylaert, R. L. *et al.* Present and future distribution of bat hosts of sarbecoviruses: Implications for conservation and public health. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 289, 20220397 (2022).
 ⁴⁴¹ 34.
- Hassell, J. M., Begon, M., Ward, M. J. & Fèvre, E. M. Urbanization and disease emergence: Dynamics at the wildlife–livestock–human interface. *Trends in ecology & evolution* **32**, 55–67 (2017).

443 35.

Hu, B. *et al.* Discovery of a rich gene pool of bat SARS-related coronaviruses provides new insights into the origin of SARS coronavirus. *PLoS pathogens* **13**, e1006698 (2017).

445 36.

Wang, N. *et al.* Serological Evidence of Bat SARS-Related Coronavirus Infection in Humans, China.
 Virologica Sinica 33, 104–107 (2018).

447 37.

⁴⁴⁸ Müller, M. A. *et al.* MERS coronavirus neutralizing antibodies in camels, Eastern Africa, 1983–1997.
 Emerging infectious diseases 20, 2093 (2014).

449 38.

Xu, R.-H. *et al.* Epidemiologic Clues to SARS Origin in China. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 10, 1030–1037 (2004).

⁴⁵² Peixoto, F. P., Braga, P. H. P. & Mendes, P. A synthesis of ecological and evolutionary determinants of bat diversity across spatial scales. *BMC ecology* **18**, 18 (2018).

454 Simmons, N. B. & Cirranello, A. L. Bat Species of the World: A taxonomic and geographic database. https://batnames.org/ (2020).

Kasso, M. & Balakrishnan, M. Ecological and Economic Importance of Bats (Order Chiroptera). *ISRN Biodiversity* 2013, e187415 (2013).

457 42.

Bats in the Anthropocene: Conservation of Bats in a Changing World. (Springer International Publishing, 2016). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-25220-9.

459 43.

Williams-Guillén, K., Perfecto, I. & Vandermeer, J. Bats Limit Insects in a Neotropical Agroforestry System.
 Science 320, 70–70 (2008).

461 **44**.

⁴⁵¹ **39.**

⁴⁵³ **40.**

^{455 41.}

- ⁴⁶² Gonsalves, L., Bicknell, B., Law, B., Webb, C. & Monamy, V. Mosquito Consumption by Insectivorous Bats:
 Does Size Matter? *PLOS ONE* 8, e77183 (2013).
 ⁴⁶³ 45.
- ⁴⁶⁴ Gonsalves, L., Lamb, S., Webb, C., Law, B. & Monamy, V. Do mosquitoes influence bat activity in coastal habitats? *Wildlife Research* **40**, 10–24 (2013).

465 46.

 Mello, M. A. R. *et al.* The Missing Part of Seed Dispersal Networks: Structure and Robustness of Bat-Fruit Interactions. *PLOS ONE* 6, e17395 (2011).

467 **47.**

⁴⁶⁸ Caraballo, D. A. Cross-Species Transmission of Bat Coronaviruses in the Americas: Contrasting Patterns between Alphacoronavirus and Betacoronavirus. *Microbiology Spectrum* 0, e01411–22 (2022).

469 48.

⁴⁷⁰ Wu, Z. et al. A comprehensive survey of bat sarbecoviruses across China for the origin tracing of SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2. (2021) doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-885194/v1.

471 **49**.

472 Carlson, C. J. *et al.* Climate change increases cross-species viral transmission risk. *Nature* 1–1 (2022)
 doi:10.1038/s41586-022-04788-w.

473 50.

⁴⁷⁴ Plowright, R. K. *et al.* Urban habituation, ecological connectivity and epidemic dampening: The emergence of hendra virus from flying foxes (pteropus spp.). *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 278, 3703–3712 (2011).

475 51.

⁴⁷⁶ Alves, D. M. C. C., Diniz-Filho, J. A. F., da Silva e Souza, K., Gouveia, S. F. & Villalobos, F. Geographic variation in the relationship between large-scale environmental determinants and bat species richness. *Basic and Applied Ecology* 27, 1–8 (2018).

477 52.

⁴⁷⁸ Treitler, J. T., Heim, O., Tschapka, M. & Jung, K. The effect of local land use and loss of forests on bats and nocturnal insects. *Ecology and Evolution* 6, 4289–4297 (2016).

479 53.

⁴⁸⁰ Mendenhall, C. D., Karp, D. S., Meyer, C. F. J., Hadly, E. A. & Daily, G. C. Predicting biodiversity change and averting collapse in agricultural landscapes. *Nature* **509**, 213–217 (2014).

481 54.

⁴⁸² Amman, B. R. et al. Investigating the role of bats in emerging zoonoses: Balancing ecology, conservation and public health interest. (FAO, 2011).

483 55.

⁴⁸⁴ Hopkins, S. R. *et al.* How to identify win-win interventions that benefit human health and conservation.
 Nature Sustainability 4, 298–304 (2021).

485 56.

- Plowright, R. K. *et al.* Land use-induced spillover: A call to action to safeguard environmental, animal, and human health. *The Lancet Planetary Health* 5, e237–e245 (2021).
- 487 57.
- ⁴⁸⁸ OHHLEP *et al.* One Health: A new definition for a sustainable and healthy future. *PLOS Pathogens* **18**, e1010537 (2022).
- 489 58.
- ⁴⁹⁰ Ka-Wai Hui, E. Reasons for the increase in emerging and re-emerging viral infectious diseases. *Microbes and Infection* 8, 905–916 (2006).

491 **59.**

- ⁴⁹² IUCN. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. https://www.iucnredlist.org (2021).
- 493 60.
- ⁴⁹⁴ Rouault, E. *et al. GDAL/OGR Geospatial Data Abstraction software Library*. (Zenodo, 2022). doi:10.5281/ZENODO.5884351.
- 495 61.
- ⁴⁹⁶ Faith, D. P. Conservation evaluation and phylogenetic diversity. *Biological Conservation* **61**, 1–10 (1992).
- 497 62.
- ⁴⁹⁸ Upham, N. S., Esselstyn, J. A. & Jetz, W. Inferring the mammal tree: Species-level sets of phylogenies for questions in ecology, evolution, and conservation. *PLOS Biology* **17**, e3000494 (2019).
- 499 63.
- Legendre, P. & De Cáceres, M. Beta diversity as the variance of community data: Dissimilarity coefficients and partitioning. *Ecology Letters* 16, 951–963 (2013).
- 501 **64.**
- Legendre, P. & Condit, R. Spatial and temporal analysis of beta diversity in the Barro Colorado Island forest dynamics plot, Panama. *Forest Ecosystems* 6, 7 (2019).

⁵⁰⁴ Dansereau, G., Legendre, P. & Poisot, T. Evaluating ecological uniqueness over broad spatial extents using species distribution modelling. *Oikos* **n**/**a**, e09063 (2022).

505 66.

^{503 65.}

- ⁵⁰⁶ Albery, G. F., Eskew, E. A., Ross, N. & Olival, K. J. Predicting the global mammalian viral sharing network using phylogeography. *Nature Communications* 11, 2260 (2020).
 ⁵⁰⁷ 67.
- Mollentze, N. & Streicker, D. G. Viral zoonotic risk is homogenous among taxonomic orders of mammalian and avian reservoir hosts. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 117, 9423–9430 (2020).
 68.
- Seekell, D. A., Lapierre, J.-F. & Cheruvelil, K. S. A geography of lake carbon cycling. *Limnology and Oceanography Letters* 3, 49–56 (2018).

511 **69**.

- ⁵¹² Gomulkiewicz, R., Thompson, J. N., Holt, R. D., Nuismer, S. L. & Hochberg, M. E. Hot Spots, Cold Spots, and the Geographic Mosaic Theory of Coevolution. *The American Naturalist* 156, 156–174 (2000).
 ⁵¹³ 70.
- Keke, S., Peng, Z. & Guohui, L. Study on skin color image segmentation used by Fuzzy-c-means arithmetic.
 in 2010 Seventh International Conference on Fuzzy Systems and Knowledge Discovery vol. 2 612–615 (2010).
 71.
- Katoh, K. & Standley, D. M. MAFFT Multiple Sequence Alignment Software Version 7: Improvements in Performance and Usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 30, 772–780 (2013).
- 517 72.
- Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H. A., von Haeseler, A. & Minh, B. Q. IQ-TREE: A Fast and Effective Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 32, 268–274 (2015).
- 519 73.
- Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B. Q., Wong, T. K. F., von Haeseler, A. & Jermiin, L. S. ModelFinder: Fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nature Methods* 14, 587–589 (2017).
- 521 **74.**
- Hoang, D. T., Chernomor, O., von Haeseler, A., Minh, B. Q. & Vinh, L. S. UFBoot2: Improving the Ultrafast
 Bootstrap Approximation. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 35, 518–522 (2018).
- ⁵²³ 75.
- Isaac, N. J. B., Turvey, S. T., Collen, B., Waterman, C. & Baillie, J. E. M. Mammals on the EDGE: Conservation
 Priorities Based on Threat and Phylogeny. *PLOS ONE* 2, e296 (2007).

⁵²⁵ 76.

Kreft, H. & Jetz, W. Global patterns and determinants of vascular plant diversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 104, 5925–5930 (2007).

527 **77.**

Kreft, H. & Jetz, W. A framework for delineating biogeographical regions based on species distributions.
 Journal of Biogeography 37, 2029–2053 (2010).

⁵²⁹ 78.

Paradis, E. & Schliep, K. Ape 5.0: An environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary analyses in
 R. *Bioinformatics* 35, 526–528 (2019).



Figure 1: **Bat and betacoronavirus biogeographic regions.** Phylogeography of bats (top) and viruses (bottom) is categorized based on an analysis of bat distributions, paired with bat or virus phylogeny. The different colors show tendencies to separate alongside the first two components of a PCoA. Note that the PCoA for the bats and viruses are independent, and so cannot be compared directly – that being said, the fact that different regions cluster in the same way across maps be directly compared.





Figure 2: **Bat and betacoronavirus diversity.** Top panel: diversity of known bat hosts of betacoronaviruses in our dataset. This map shows that the region with the largest number of possible hosts is South-Eastern Asia. Bottom panel: congruence between the *evolutionary* distinctiveness of the hosts (grey to blue) and the viruses (grey to red). Darker areas have higher combined evolutionary distinctiveness for the entire bat-virus system.



Figure 3: **Trivariate additive mapping of the components of risk.** Viral sharing runs from yellow (low) to blue (high); host phylogenetic diversity runs from pink (low) to high (green); and host compositional uniqueness runs from cyan (low) to red (high). The GMTC suggests that the highest evolutionary potential for emergence exists in unique and diverse host communities with low viral sharing, *i.e.* pixels around yellow. All components within bat host ranges are scaled in brightness so that a pixel with no sharing, no phylogenetic diversity, and no compositional uniqueness would be black, and a pixel with maximal values for each would be white. The individual layers that compose this figure are given in supplementary material.



Figure 4: **Evolutionary potential for zoonotic emergence of bat-origin betacoronaviruses.** Risk is a composite measure of the color value and angular distance to the yellow hue in fig. 3 (see Methods). Darker pixels represent areas where the co-evolutionary mechanisms are likely to introduce a strong risk of emergence.



Figure 5: **Overlap between evolutionary potential and ecological opportunity for zoonotic emergence.** Overlap of the percent of each pixel occupied by urbanized structures, representing the degree of settlement, on the spillover risk map (where the risk comes only from wildlife, and ignores multi-hosts chains of transmissions including non-bats hosts). Darker pixels correspond to more risk, in that the GMTC-derived risk of fig. 4 is high *and* the pixel is densely occupied by human populations.